

Concerns Raised at June 1st Francklyn Street Public Meeting

1. Pine Hill – Harbourview “spur” is “ill-conceived and ill-considered”
2. paving on Francklyn equivalent to a driveway, others don’t have to pay a penalty like this; will lower property values
3. bike lane on one side with no parking acceptable
4. need soft turf of existing path for joggers
5. paving and snow clearing is high cost
6. paving reduces green space; salting environmentally unsound for remaining grass
7. street is not busy like Beaufort, so no changes other than painted bike lane needed
8. what policing/rules will there be to control access, e.g. by rickshaws, fast bicycles ?
9. putting all modes of traffic on same trail is unsafe
10. appears to be a loss of cityscape we don’t have elsewhere, just for visual continuity only
11. bike lane is good enough on Brunswick Street, why not here ?
12. could have single bike lane for both directions, as so little traffic
13. only a sign and no actual bike lane on Vernon Street
14. could Pine Hill – Tower Road be pedestrian route rather than Francklyn ?
15. haven’t talked to cycling community, last place they are worried about, waste of money to put facility on Francklyn
16. contradiction identified in statements made about level of traffic to be expected
17. if continuity is the issue, please be flexible as this is only section abutting private property
18. one landowner concerned about pathways possibly surrounding their property
19. like one-way loop for bike lanes concept
20. why are we forcing more bikes to go to the Park when there are many restrictions re bicycle usage there ?
21. there are other natural corridors for getting around the city on bikes, as boulevards on Connaught and University – why are they not used ?
22. there is no HRM control of mass numbers of runners, as for Bluenose Marathon
23. petitions against current design from all of Harbourview residents and 87 from Francklyn Street area